

Patent Application
Docket No. 92717-00314



23932

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

"EXPRESS MAIL" Mailing Label No. EL 9100482515
Date of Deposit: 7/26/01
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Type or Print Name: Carol Marsteller

Signature Carol Marsteller

**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ANALYZING INTERDEPENDENCIES
OF PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS**

RELATED CASES

This application is related to co-pending U.S. patent applications

_____ (Attorney Docket 92717-297) and

5 _____ (Attorney Docket 92717-311), which are incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND

Field of the Invention

10 The present invention relates generally to project management, and, more particularly, but not by way of limitation, to analyzing interdependencies between project team members.

Background of the Invention

Understanding interaction and interdependency relationships between project team members working on a development project is often difficult for a project manager. Development projects, such as a requirements specification or a proposal document, often have 10 to 50 or more project team members who author, edit, and manage the development project. While project managers have various tools to monitor and assess the progress of the development project, interdependency relationships between project team members, and impact that one project team member has on another project team member, are much more difficult to quantitatively assess and interpret.

During the execution of a development project, it is often recognized that the project begins to fall behind schedule. Typically, when the project manager recognizes that the project is slipping behind schedule, resources are added to work on tasks that require completion. However, by adding additional resources (e.g., project team members) to the development project, additional intercommunication between the new and old project team members is required as the new project team members initially face a steep learning curve to understand the development project and their role in completing the development project. The combination of the learning curve and

intercommunication creates inefficiencies during the development project because original project team members are subject to devoting time and resources in assisting new project team members.

A curve may be formed to mathematically describe completion time for a development project and the number of project team members working on the development project. Such a curve may show a large amount of time required to complete a project with only a few project team members. As the number of project team members increases, the amount of time to complete the development project decreases, but upon adding too many project team members, the amount of time that the project team takes to complete the development project begins to increase. The increase occurs because inefficiencies are created due to added communication and interdependency relationships between the project team members. It has been shown that communicational complexity increases by $n(n-1)/2$, where n is the number of project team members that must communicate in order to complete the development project. Interdependency relationships are formed as project team members perform certain roles or job functions that require one project team member to work on matters created, defined by, or previously worked on by a second project team member.

In large project developments, it is often impractical or extremely difficult to recognize that problems with interdependency relationships exist between the project

team members. One reason that makes it difficult to recognize interdependency relationship problems is that day-to-day interactions and work efforts between project team members are generally at a level to which project managers are not exposed. For example, a senior project team member of a proposal team may often be casually supervising several junior team members by assisting in writing and/or editing duties during the course of the development project. However, the extent of the supervisory role by the senior project team member may not be fully understood or appreciated by the project manager as the supervisory role is not a planned duty of the senior member, but simply a professional courtesy – a role not anticipated to have an impact on the development project. In other words, the supervisory role is an unintended consequence of including junior project team members on a complex project. And, without expressly notifying the project manager of the supervision being provided by the senior project team member to the junior project team member(s), the inefficiencies created due to added interdependency relationships cannot be properly managed by the project team manager. Also, impact on one project team member due to work performed by another project team member is difficult to quantitatively assess. Admittedly, identifying interdependency relationships between the project team members is an overwhelming and difficult task.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To overcome the difficulty of being unable to easily quantitatively assess interdependency and impact relationships between project team members, statistical analysis may be utilized to assess the interdependency and impact relationships between 5 the project team members based on a temporal relationship of performing work on artifacts, such as data records (e.g., branches and leaves of a document) or data files, by the project team members. The statistical analysis may include performing a regression analysis and developing correlation coefficients to indicate the strength of the interdependency relationships between the project team members. By utilizing such 10 statistical analysis, a project team manager may quantitatively view the interdependency relationships between the project team members and determine how to improve efficiencies for the project team.

One embodiment according to the principles of the present invention includes a system and method for determining interdependencies between project team members 15 working on a development project. The method includes receiving data indicative of a temporal relationship between a first and a second project team member having modified at least one artifact of the development project. The data indicative of the temporal relationship between the project team members may be statistically analyzed. At least one metric representative of an interdependency relationship between the first

and second project team members may be formed. The metric(s) representative of the interdependency relationship may be stored.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 A more complete understanding of the method and apparatus of the present invention may be obtained by reference to the following Detailed Description when taken in conjunction with the accompanying Drawings wherein:

FIGURE 1 is an exemplary block diagram of a development project represented in a tree structure;

10 FIGURE 2 is an exemplary project summary data record describing operations performed on the development project of FIGURE 1;

FIGURE 3 is an exemplary system for maintaining and performing the principles of the present invention as applied to the development project of FIGURE 1;

15 FIGURE 4 is an exemplary flow diagram describing three high-level operations of the principles of the present invention as operated on the exemplary development project of FIGURE 1;

FIGURE 5 is an exemplary interaction diagram for performing an analysis for work performed by an individual project team member working on the exemplary development project as identified in FIGURE 4;

FIGURE 6 is a high-level flow diagram of a pairwise work regression analysis as identified in FIGURE 4;

FIGURE 7 is an exemplary interaction diagram of a coarse pairwise work regression analysis as identified in FIGURE 4;

5 FIGURE 8 is an exemplary interaction diagram of a fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis as identified in FIGURE 4; and

FIGURE 9 is an exemplary graph produced by a pairwise regression analysis of any of FIGURES 4-8 of an interdependency relationship between two project team members.

10

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The principles of the present invention will now be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which embodiments of the principles of the present invention are shown. This invention may, however, be 15 embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein; rather, these embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will be thorough and complete, and will fully convey the scope of the invention to those skilled in the art.

Development projects require project team members to manage, produce, edit, organize, and assemble the development project. The development project may be a requirements document (e.g., specification), request for proposal, proposal, book, periodical, software source code, accounting system, or any other document or 5 specification that may be developed and/or maintained by the project team members.

To successfully complete the development project in what often is an aggressive schedule, efficiency of production and cooperation among project team members is desired. However, managing a group of project team members having a diverse range of personalities and work habits is difficult to comprehend by traditional management 10 techniques, such as casual observation and meetings. Quite often, working or interdependency relations between project team members may not be fully appreciated without "micromanaging" - a less than desirable way to manage a development project. And, without the manager of the development project appreciating the interdependency 15 relationship between project team members, inefficiencies develop, and planned costs and schedules may not be achieved.

In the case of producing a requirements specification or other similar document, one or more authors and often one or more editors are generally required. An author is considered a producer, and an editor is considered a consumer of the author's work.

Therefore, an interdependency relationship is formed between the author and the editor of the author's work.

Determining the strength of the interdependency relationships between project team members without quantifying the strength of the relationships leaves a manager of 5 the development project with a near-impossible situation to fully comprehend the working environment dynamics of the development project. For example, two project team members may dislike one another, but still produce a good specification. A subjective viewpoint of this situation might define the relationship between the working team members as a "weak" working relationship. To avoid subjective working 10 relationship definitions, the definition of strength may be limited to the relationship between a work unit that one project team member completes with a work unit that another project team member completes. For example, an author may produce an artifact (e.g., section) within a requirements specification and an editor may edit the artifact to ensure proper spelling, grammar, and technical accuracy. The editor of the 15 artifact is thus said to be dependent on the author. Another example may include a software system designer structuring a software application and a lower level software developer writing the software code of the software application.

Because development projects are generally located on computers, including computer networks, data indicative of the project team members who work on (e.g.,

create, modify, edit, etc.) artifacts of the development project may be maintained in an audit trail. The data provides a temporal relationship between a first and a second project team member that accesses the artifacts of the development project. Three exemplary utilizations of the maintained data include: (i) individual work analysis, (ii) 5 course pairwise work analysis, and (iii) fine-grained pairwise work analysis. The individual work analysis is performed by statistically analyzing work performed by a project team member. The course pairwise work analysis is performed by a statistical analysis of types of artifacts worked on by one project team member as temporally related to work done by another project team member. The fine-grained work analysis 10 is performed by a statistical analysis of particular artifacts worked on by one project team member as temporally related to work done on the same artifacts by another project team member. In performing either pairwise work analysis, the data may be statistically analyzed to form a metric that quantitatively represents an interdependency relationship between the first and second project team members. Further, impact 15 created on one project team member due to work performed by another project team member may be quantitatively generated. The statistical analysis may include regression analysis and correlation. Correlation coefficients produced by the statistical analysis may provide quantitative results for the project manager of the development

project to utilize in understanding strengths of the interdependency relationship between the two project team members.

FIGURE 1 is an exemplary block diagram 100 showing a tree structure for the organization of a development project. The tree structure includes artifacts described as 5 branches 105a-105f and leaves 110a-110f, cumulatively 105 and 110, respectively. An artifact may be a branch or a leaf in the context of the development project or any other data structure or data file based on a particular application. The numbers shown in the branches 105 and leaves 110 are representative section numbers within the development project. Text for each of the sections of the development project may additionally be 10 included. It should be understood that the data for forming the tree structure may be produced on a system that uses ASCII text, Extended Markup Language, or any other data format for creating and storing a development project.

FIGURE 2 is an exemplary project summary data record 200 for storing or accounting for information indicative of modifications to artifacts of the development 15 project of FIGURE 1. The information stored in the project summary data record 200 includes an artifact ID (i.e., section of the development project), level of the artifact within the tree, type of artifact, creation data of the artifact, modification time-stamp of the artifact, and user who performs the creation or modification of the artifact. The artifact may be a data object of the development project or a datafile being part of or not

part of a development project. In the project summary data record 200, artifact 205a, includes artifact ID 1.1.1.1, which was created on 5/30/01 and modified five times thereafter. User C (“C”) modified the artifact 205a on 5/30/01 at 10:05:06. User D (“D”) modified the artifacts 205b-205d on 5/31/01 at 13:08:42, 5/31/01 at 15:18:26, and 5 6/01/01 at 08:45:15, respectively. C again modified the artifact 205e on 6/01/01 at 09:17:36. Accordingly, two temporal relationships are established between C and D, (i) D is a successor to C by modifying the artifacts 205b-205d, and (ii) C is a successor to D by modifying the artifact 205e. These temporal relationships between C and D provide knowledge that may be utilized to formulate a quantitative understanding of the 10 interdependency relationship between C and D by utilizing statistical analysis. Also, impact relationships are established between C and D by: (i) determining the amount of work performed by D after C performed work on the artifact 205a (i.e., three), and (ii) the amount of work performed by C after D performed work on the artifact 205d (i.e., one). Alternatively, other parameters may be used to determine the amount of work 15 performed, such as time performing work or time span.

FIGURE 3 is an exemplary system 300 for operating the principles of the present invention. A first and second server 302a and 302b are connected to a network 303, where the network 303 may be an intranet, the Internet, a local area network (LAN), or a wide area network (WAN). The server 302a includes a memory 304, a

modem 306, and a processor 308. A data bus 310 provides for communication between the components within the server 302a. In an alternate embodiment, the servers 302a and 302b may be computer systems (e.g., personal computers) other than servers.

A project data file 301 representative of the data of the artifacts 105 and 110, for 5 example, may be stored in the server 302a. The project summary database 200 is used to store the information indicative of modifications to the artifacts of the project data file 301. Further, an interdependency database 312 is used to store statistics produced by a project component 314 containing software tools that are executed on the processor 308.

10 The project component 314 may include a data collector component 316, an author component 318, and a statistical analyzer component 320. Alternatively, the software tools may be configured so as to be external from the project component 314. The data collector 316 is used to monitor modifications or work performed on artifacts of the project data file 301 by the project team members. Upon determining that a 15 project team member modifies any artifact 105 and 110 of the project data file 301, the data collector component 316 updates the project summary database 200. Alternatively, the data collector 316 may perform data collection duties on a periodic basis or non-periodic basis. The statistical analyzer 320 may be employed manually or automatically by the author component 318 to utilize the data stored in the project

summary database 200 to formulate information about the interdependency and impact relationships between the project team members performing work on the artifacts 105 and 110.

A personal computer (PC) 322 may be coupled to each of the servers 302a and 5 302b via lines 324 for interaction with the project 314. Alternatively, the personal computers 322 may be terminals that are driven by the servers 302a and 302b. Data may be communicated from the servers 302a and 302b across line 326 over the network 303 via data packets 328a and 328b as understood in the art.

Before further discussing the principles of the present invention, one 10 embodiment to perform the statistical analysis is discussed. Regression analysis is used to determine dependency between an independent and a dependent variable. For the instant case, a project team member having a role of an author may be treated as the independent variable and a project team member having a role of an editor may be treated as the dependent variable. By definition, the author writes information stored in 15 an artifact before the editor edits the information stored in the artifact. Therefore, because of the temporal relationship, the work performed by the author is the independent variable and the work performed by the editor is the dependent variable.

In performing the regression analysis, statistical equations are used to perform the regression analysis. The regression analysis includes normal regression model

equations (equations 1-3) and further includes (i) slope (equation 4) of the regression model equations, (ii) intercept (equation 5) of the regression model equations, (iii) correlation coefficient (equation 6) of the regression equations, and (iv) an alternate equation for the correlation coefficient (equation 7) of the regression equations. The regression analysis is used to compute the regression parameters, develop models of the relationship between project team members, and assess the strength of the relationships between the project team members. The equations are expressed as:

$$S_{XX} = \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2 / n \quad (1)$$

$$S_{YY} = \sum Y_i^2 - (\sum Y_i)^2 / n \quad (2)$$

$$10 \quad S_{XY} = \sum X_i Y_i - (\sum X_i)(\sum Y_i) / n \quad (3)$$

$$b_1 = S_{XY} / S_{XX} \quad (4)$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X} \quad (5)$$

$$R^2 = b_1 S_{XY} / S_{YY} \quad (6)$$

$$15 \quad r = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{(\sqrt{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \sqrt{\sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2})} \quad (7)$$

Definitions:

S_{XX} = The sum of the squares of the independent variable values.

S_{YY} = The sum of the squares of the dependent variable values.

S_{XY} = The sum of the products of the independent and dependent variable values.

X = The individual values of the independent variables.

X-bar = The mean of the independent variable values.

Y = The individual values of the dependent variables.

Y-bar = The mean of the dependent variable values.

5 n = The number of (X,Y) pairs.

b₁ = The slope of the regression equations.

b₀ = The intercept of the regression equations.

r = The sample correlation coefficient.

R² = The square of the sample correlation coefficient.

10 Figure 5 is in an exemplary flow diagram 400 that describes general processing to determine individual and interdependency relationships based on work performed by project team members. The process starts at step 405. At step 410, individual authors generate individual work statistics. The author component 318, operates to manage a project team member's activity. Separate instances of the author component 318 allows 15 for each project team member to be individually serviced while engaging the development project. Alternatively, a single author component 318 may service multiple project team members.

Statistics generated by the author component 318 may include generating information descriptive of work efforts on the development project. For example, 20 information may be generated that describes the amount of time spent by an individual

project team member working on branches 105 and leaves 110. Other statistical analyses for the individual project team members may be performed or initiated by the author component 318.

At step 415, a coarse pairwise work regression analysis is generated. The term 5 “pairwise” describes processing performed utilizing work data stored in the project summary database 200 or elsewhere for two project team members or non-project team members. In the coarse pairwise work regression analysis, a determination is made to understand how the project team members are interdependently related on artifact types as a whole. More particularly, branch work and leaf work that each project team 10 member has performed is gathered on a temporal basis, and the statistical analysis utilizing regression analysis is performed. Results from the statistical analysis may be indicative of the interdependency and/or impact relationships between the project team members working on artifacts and/or types of artifacts (e.g., branches and leaves). Such results may provide a project manager with an understanding of the level of work that 15 two project team members are performing, and whether an interdependency relationship between the two project team members exists. Based on the results of the statistical analysis, the project manager can address the situation accordingly.

At step 420, a fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis is generated. The fine-grained work regression analysis focuses on specific artifacts worked on by the

project team members rather than considering the general types of artifacts worked on by the project team members. The specific artifacts may be identified by the artifact ID's as shown in FIGURES 1 and 2. Alternatively, the specific artifacts may be identified by hash values generated by time-stamps of creation and/or modification 5 dates.

More specifically, the fine-grained work regression analysis includes identifying specific artifacts worked on by project team members. The artifacts identified may be temporally organized so that a regression analysis may be performed to determine the interdependency and impact relationships between the project team members based on 10 the specific artifacts worked on by one project team member with respect to other project team member(s). The fine-grained work regression analysis may be performed periodically, non-periodically, predetermined, random or pseudo-random time periods.

FIGURE 5 is an exemplary interaction diagram 410a depicting a detailed process for performing the individual work analysis. The analysis may include (i) an 15 analysis of leaf work to branch work, (ii) leaf work to total work, and (iii) branch work to total work for a development project. Other individual work analysis statistics may also be generated. Four objects related to performing the individual work analysis are shown, including the project component 314, author A 318a, author B 318b, and regression model component 505. It should be understood that the term "author" could

alternatively be “editor” or any other indicator. Although not included in FIGURE 3, the regression model component 505 may be contained within or external from the statistical analyzer 320. It should be understood that rather than the regression model component 505 being directly disposed between the author components 318a and 318b, 5 the statistical analyzer 320 may communicate with the author components 318a and 318b, and the statistical analyzer 320 may communicate with the regression model component 505 thereafter.

At step 510a, author A 318a analyzes daily work performed by the project team member being handled by author A 318a. In analyzing the daily work, author A 318a 10 searches the project summary database 200 to find artifacts (e.g., branches 105 and leaves 110) that were created and/or modified on a particular day. Other ranges of time and days may alternatively be considered by the project manager. As indicated beneath author B 318b, steps performed by author B 318b are substantially the same as those performed by author A 318a because the author component (i.e., class) is performing 15 the analysis and each instance of the author component in an object oriented sense (i.e., authors A and B) is an instance of the author class. Of course, the data sought and processed by author B 318b pertains to a different project team member than author A 318a.

At step 515a, regression models are set as shown and discussed with respect to equations (1) - (7). Essentially, the regression models may include normal regression, slope, and intercept equations.

At step 520a, author A 318a notifies the regression model component 505 to 5 populate the regression model with parameters as determined in step 510a. For the exemplary development project, the number of branches 105 worked on may be the independent variable "X", and the number of leaves 110 worked on may be the dependent variable "Y". Alternatively, the number of branches 105 worked on (i.e., branch tasks) and the number of leaves 110 worked on (i.e., leaf tasks) may each be 10 regressed against the total number of tasks performed.

At step 525a, the daily work statistics are calculated. The daily work statistics includes the number of branches 105 and leaves 110 worked on by the project team member for a given day.

At step 530a, a regression analysis using the daily work parameters used to 15 populate the regression model is performed. At step 535a, results of the regression analysis are collected. The regression model may be again populated at step 540a to perform a regression analysis to determine other statistics for the project team member.

FIGURE 6 is an exemplary flow diagram 600 of a basic operation for determining interdependency and impact relationships between two project team

members modifying artifacts of the project data file 301. The process starts at step 605. At step 610, data indicative of a temporal relationship between two project team members who modify an artifact of the project data file 301 is received. This data may be stored in the project summary database 200 or, alternatively, received real-time.

5 The data indicative of the temporal relationship between the two project team members is statistically analyzed at step 615. The statistical analysis forms metrics that are representative of the interdependency and/or impact relationships between the project team members. The metrics are stored at step 625 in the interdependency database 312. The process ends at step 630. It should be understood that the general 10 process of FIGURE 6 may be utilized for generally describing operations to perform the course and fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis as discussed in relation to FIGURES 7 and 8, respectively.

FIGURE 7 is an exemplary interaction diagram 415a depicting a detailed process for performing the course pairwise work regression analysis of step 415 15 identified in FIGURE 4. At step 705, the project component 314 instructs author A 318a to perform the course pairwise work regression analysis. Author A 318a, in turn, instructs the regression model component 505 to generate a work regression model at step 710. The work regression model may be performed by equations (1) - (7), for example, for determining the course pairwise work regression analysis.

At step 715, a message to provide work statistics is communicated from author A 318a to author B 318b. Fundamentally, each author component 318a and 318b maintains a hash table keyed to a date for work performed. Alternatively, other keys may be utilized. Author B 318b provides the work statistics data to author A 318a.

5 Either the work statistics data or a pointer to the work statistics data may be communicated to author A 318a at step 720.

At step 725, author A 318a instructs the regression model component 505 to populate the regression model with regression model parameters. The regression model parameters are the number of branches 105 and leaves 110 worked on by the author A 10 A 318a and author B 318b. In performing a branch work-to-branch work regression analysis between the two project team members, the independent variable "X" is the number of branches 105 worked on by author A 318a, and the dependent variable "Y" is the number of branches 105 worked on by author B 318b.

At step 730, author A 318a instructs the regression model component 505 to 15 compute the regression analysis. The results of the regression analysis are written to a file or the interdependency database 312 as step 735.

FIGURE 8 is an exemplary interaction diagram 420a depicting a detailed process for performing the fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis of step 420 identified in FIGURE 4. The fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis determines

an interdependency and/or impact relationship between author A 318a and author B 318b based on the particular branches 105 and leaves 110 worked on by the two project team members serviced by the author components.

At step 805, the project 314 instructs author A 318a to perform the fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis. At step 810, author A 318a collects tasks performed by author A 318a and successors who performed work on those tasks. In one embodiment, author A 318a searches in the project summary database 200 to find branches 105 and leaves 110 that author A 318a has created or modified over a given time period. Additionally, author A 318a may determine successors that have performed work on the particular branches 105 and leaves 110 that were found in the search by author A 318a.

At step 815, a message is sent from author A 318a to author B 318b for author B 318b to provide tasks on particular branches 105 and leaves 110 performed by author B 318b. The list of tasks are sent from author B 318b to author A 318a at step 820. The list of tasks are temporally sorted at step 825 prior to building a fine-grained regression model at step 830. The fine-grained pairwise work regression model may utilize the same equations (1) – (7) as the course pairwise work regression model with the exception of the parameters that are used to populate in the equations at step 835.

In determining the parameters for the fine-grained pairwise work regression model, author A 318a counts the number of artifacts that the project team member associated with author A 318a worked on and counts the number of artifacts that the project team member associated with author B 318b successively worked on to form the 5 independent variable "X" and dependent variable "Y", respectively, for individual artifacts. In other words, the independent and dependent variables may be developed based on a temporal relationship between tasks performed by author A 318a on one artifact and tasks performed on the same artifact by author B 318b, cumulatively (i.e., for all of the branches, for example, worked on by the two authors on an individual 10 basis). It should be understood that the count technique may be used for the different types of artifacts of the development project. At step 840, a regression is performed to determine the interdependency and/or impact relationships between the two project team members associated with author A 318a and author B 318b as described by work performed on particular branches 105 and leaves 110. The results of the regression are 15 written to the interdependency database 312 at step 845 so that a series of results may thereafter be used to chart the results.

The results of the fine-grained pairwise work regression analysis produces a more complete description of the interdependency and/or impact relationships between project team members than does the coarse pairwise work regression analysis. The

results may be produced on a daily basis, a weekly basis, a monthly basis, or any other periodic, substantially periodic, or non-periodic time period. It should be understood that the generation of the interdependency relationships between two or more project team members may be formed by data collected on a daily basis or any other time basis

5 as the data collector 320 (FIGURE 3) may continuously or non-continuously monitor and store work performed on artifacts of the project in the project summary database

200.

FIGURE 9 is an exemplary output graph 900 from an interdependency relationship model for two project team members. For the purposes of this example, it

10 is assumed that the development project has a single author, C, and a single editor, D. Further, this is the first time that C and D have worked together on a development project, but both are senior project team members.

As expected, the relationship models produce a strong relationship between D and C's work as indicated by line 905. Interestingly, the first week appears to indicate a

15 weakening in the work relationship between C and D. One factor that proves to produce this weakening relationship is that D relied on the project manager to make author-related decisions, as opposed to D's relying on C to make the author-related decisions. Additionally, differing work habits and schedules compounded the problems in the work relationship. D tended to arrive to work late and work late, while C tended

to arrive to work early and leave work early. Once the two became acclimated with the other's work habits and schedules at about week 7, the strength of their working relationship improved as indicated on the line 905, which is D's interdependency relationship with C. C's interdependency relationship with D, as shown on the line 910, 5 however, cannot be substantiated because these values (i.e., between -0.2 and +0.2) are negligible and are basically irrelevant.

The regression analysis simply provides an indication of the confidence that the regression model fits the data. The slope and intercept of the regression line may also be identified. Assuming a strong linear relationship, the slope of the line may be used 10 to understand the amount of work that one project team member is performing in relation to another, and to provide an understanding of the ramifications of utilizing these project team members on another development project.

FIGURE 10 is an exemplary graph 1000 depicting the slope of the regression line between C and D's work, where C, again, is the independent variable and D is the 15 dependent variable. Again, this graph 1000 reflects the realities of the interdependency relationship between C and D. The daily scope indicates impact on a project team member due to work performed by another project team member. For example, for each work unit or artifact that C executes, D initially executed 20 percent fewer work

units. After the sixth day, D began producing more work units and ultimately executed approximately ten percent more work units for each work unit that C executed.

The system for determining the interdependency relationships between project team members may be manual, semi-automatic, or automatic. Additionally, rather than 5 using real numbers on the y-axis of the graphs 900 and 1000, generic language may be utilized to describe the interdependency relationship between the project team members. For example, as the results of the statistical analysis provide metrics that may be classified as being strong (e.g., 0.8) or weak (e.g., 0.2), the system may provide an analysis in a human legible description, such as, "B is very dependent on work 10 produced by A with a certainty of 0.027." Additionally, a rule-based interpretation system may be utilized to monitor the results of the statistical analysis and provide an alert to the project manager for inefficiencies, deteriorations, or good working relationships between the project team members. TABLE 1 shows an exemplary list of relationships describing results from the quantitative analysis.

Correlation Coefficient	Definition
0.5 to 0.69	Moderately Weak Positive Correlation
0.25 to 0.49	Weak Positive Correlation
0.24 to -0.24	Negligible Correlation
-0.25 to -0.49	Weak Negative Correlation
-0.5 to -0.69	Moderately Weak Negative Correlation
-0.7 to -0.89	Moderately Strong Negative Correlation
-0.9 to -1.0	Strong Negative Correlation

Patent Application
Docket No. 92717-00314

The previous description is of an embodiment for implementing the principles of the present invention, and the scope of the invention should not necessarily be limited by this description. The scope of the present invention is instead defined by the following claims.